

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,974	03/02/2006	Toshiyuki Takagi	DAISAN126511	9465
26389 7599 08/17/2009 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH A VENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			EXAMINER	
			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHITTELY WILLSON		1614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/554.974 TAKAGI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit KEVIN WEDDINGTON 1614 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KEVIN WEDDINGTON. (3) (2) George E. Renzoni. (4)____. Date of Interview: 12 August 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: The claims in general. Identification of prior art discussed: The prior art in general. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Final-rejection dated July 7, 2009 is vacated because there were claims newly rejected that were not rejected before in the previous Office action. A new Non-final rejection will be mailed to address the newly rejected claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/KEVIN WEDDINGTON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office